Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



Impact of Country-of-Origin on Product Purchase Decision.

Author Details
Asad Javed,Dr. Syed Amjad Farid Hasnu
asadjaved@hu.edu.pk
hasnu@ciit.net.pk

Abstract

With the Globalization, international trade has also been increased across the nations. With Globalization, made in different countries products are available in the market and now customer have the wide variety of choices to select the products from domestic and foreign products. This phenomena makes the study of consumer attitude more important to be studied (Netemeyer et al., 1991). For any product, its characteristics, design and attributes are all important. When customers differentiate the products from products of one country from other, this phenomena is called as Country-of-Origin (COO) effect. For making successful marketing strategy, it is essential for the marketer to know what importance customer weight customer places on COO? Even it is consider as the fifth element of marketing (Baker and Ballington, 2002). Successful firms make good use of COO to get success in the market (Kinra, 2006) e.g. Swiss watches and Japanese Electronic products are its examples.

1 Introduction

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



COO is mostly used in combination with other extrinsic cues as well as with intrinsic product cues. COO effect is not static and it keeps on changing with time. Darling and Wood (1990) studies proves the COO"s dynamic nature. There are certain factors which determine the important of COO. These factors includes brand name (Jo et al, 2003), Consumer home country (Okechuku and Onyemah, 1999), country of ownership of company (Thekor & Lavack, 2003). COO effect is product specific and depends on the technology, familiarity, degree of availability and ethnocentrism (Han, 1990). COO image is related to only product image but not overall image of the country(Roth and Diamantopoulos, 2009). Chung et al. (2009) argues that people while purchasing food and other items are very sensitive to extrinsic information which makes COO phenomena an important concept to be studies.1

COO effect is still as effective as it was in the time of Schooler (Zeugner-Roth and Diamantopoulos, 2009). Still COO is an important factor on determining the consumer attitudes, consumer purchase intentions and behavior shown by the customers (Papadopoulos &

Heslop, 1993; Gurhan-Canli, & Maheswaran, 2000) and researchers have put stress on the topic of country-of-origin variable and how it effect the decision making of customers (Assaf and Josiassen, 2010). Country-of-Origin (COO) is the "overall perception consumers form of products from a particular country, based on their prior perceptions of the country's production and marketing strengths and weaknesses" (Roth & Romeo, 1992). COO phenomena is also named as the "Made in..." labeling which is an extrinsic cue and can be seen on different products (Orth & Firbasova, 2003). Fan (2006) argued that any logo off a country or name of country can be used for Country-of-Origin. In airtravel industry, a logo is placed with name which determines the COO effect, (Ahmed et al, 2008). Some countries also use it like Kiwi-bird to present New Zealand (Insch and Florek, 2009). Generally, its been observed by the researchers that customers evaluated higher to the products made in developed countries as in contrast to developing countries (Schooler 1965). COO is also used as the quality signal by the customers. Anholt (2007), argues that

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



the consumers don't want to search for too long when he or she comes to buy a product, but they also want to make an informed buying decision. Therefore a shortcut can be to choose the product because of its COO. COO is used in marketing as it is important determinant of firm's image (Josiassen and Harzing, 2008). Also the people of developing countries perceives the products of developed countries as "Superior" (Dakin and Carter 2010).

2. Literature Review (Theory)

Ulgado & Lee, (1998) argues that consumers perceive products on the basis of external and internal features of the product. Extrinsic cue includes the Brand name of the product, design2 or color and products" country-of-origin (Verlegh & Steenkamp, 1999). These extrinsic cues are helpful in decision making of customers (Marchant and Ward, 2003).

2.1. Country-of-Origin

COO effect is concern with the customers perceptions that how they perceives products from certain country (Chinen *et al.*, 2000) and COO is the "overall

perception consumers form of products from a particular country, based on their prior perceptions of the country"s production and marketing strengths and weaknesses" (Roth & Romeo, 1992). Nagashima (1970), a researchers who investigated the COO phenomena defines COO as "the picture, the reputation, the stereotype that businessmen and consumers attach to products of a specific country". Bilkey and Nes (1982) define COO as "bias toward non-domestic products".

Yaprak (1987) perceive country image as "reflecting consumers" general perceptions about the quality of products made in a particular country and the nature of people from that country". Wang and Lamb (1983), demonstrated that COO effects are actually the intangible barriers in entering to new markets and this barrier is of negative attitude of consumer toward the products. Papadopoulos (1993) gives the definition as COO "the country of manufacture or assembly". Due to Hybrid products in, the COO is now difficult to define because now the products designing country and manufacturing countries are different. (Baker and Michie, 1995). COO effect is

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



also known as the "made in....." concept and it can be in the favour of the manufacturing country or against it and it affects the decision making (Elliott and Cameron, 1994). Gurhan-Canli & Maheswaran (2000) argues that COO is the extent to which the manufacturing place effects the consumer evaluations of the product and also affect the related decisions to purchase the product or to not. Among the other factors, an important factor which influences the customer decision making process is COO (O"Cass and Lim,3 2002). Fan (2006) argued that any logo or name of any country can be used to highlight the Country-of-Origin.

Researchers have proven that COO influence the decision making and also product assessment (Solomon, 2004) as the customer believes that product Made in certain country have certain distinguishing features (Yu & Albaum, 1999). Product type effects the COO effect(Ahmed & d"Astous, 2001) and many studies on COO has been on luxury items

(Ahmed & d"Astous, 2001; Piron, 2000). COO is also related with product quality (Lusk et al., 2006).

COO also has significant implications for business (Laroche et al., 2005). The country of origin can be seen as a competitive advantage and it seems to be one factor in the buying decision process (Baker and Ballington 2002). Studies demonstrated that that consumers in whole of the world use COO as a factor in product evaluation (Supanyanij and Amine, 2000)

COO effect has been found on all type of products for a specific product (Cordell, 1992; Gaedeke, 1973), general products (Darling & Wood, 1990), industrialized goods (White,

1979), certain brand (Ahmed & d"Ashous, 1995). Some studies also shows that COO is being used for perceiving the quality of products (Han 1989). "The effect of country of origin image on brand image is very strong" (Koubaa, 2008). Yasin et al., (2007) reported that COO has strong effect on Brand. Studies have concluded that COO effects the attitudes of the consumers towards certain brands (Tse & Gorn, 1993). Scott & Keith, (2005) argues that Consumers relates the brand to the some country and thus the brand image effects the product evaluation.

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



Steenkamp et al., (2003) and Kotler & Gertner, (2002) argues that country image have a significant effect on brand image. Koubaa (2008) also supports the results that country image effects. Cengiz et al., (2007) studied the relationship of consumers" loyalty and Country image and concluded that customer loyalty is being effected by the country image.4 Systematic research on the COO effect began since 1965 with the article by Robert Schooler however Williams (1896 cited in O"Shaughnessy, 2000) considered the "Made in Germany" label to be a competitive advantage. But still it has been Schooler (1965) amongst the very first researchers to observe what later on came to be termed as the COO effect. Schooler (1965) named the COO as "foreignness" of a product that makes the product less preferable for the consumers in different countries and stated that the name of the county written on the product effect the evaluation of the product. This holds true for products (Anderson & Cunningham, 1972), for different categories of products (Nagashima, 1977), for any specific products (Krishnakumar, 1974), and for any brands (Gaedeke, 1973).this different

evaluation of the product also hold true the product source countries. The customer evaluates the product according to more developed countries or less developed countries. Papadopoulos (1993) made a significant contribution to the COO literature by criticizing the concept of COO as being narrow and misleading as the product can have different country of design and manufacturing.

Finding have concluded that COO does influence the purchasing behaviour of the customers and developed countries customers favors the products of developed countries. This positive attitude can be due to familiarity with products and can be due to the fact that people wants to help to grow their own economies (Pecotich *et al.* 2007).

Product Involvement

Involvement concept comes from social psychology.

Krugman (1965) was the first researcher who applied this concept into marketing. He found the effect of involvement on TV commercials. The concept of involvement influences the advertisement of the

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



organization and low and high involvements are related to consumer behaviour. Product involvement is5 defined as consumer recognition of a specific product or the understanding of the product (Traylor, 1981). When consumer can easy recognize the products, its higher level of Product involvement and vice versa. Product involvement can also be defined as "personal demands and interest of the product" (Zaichkowsky, 1985).

Involvement can have different objectives on the basis of those objectives it can be divided in the categories of Depending on different objects; involvement can be divided into categories of product involvement, advertising involvement, and purchasing involvement. Product involvement can be defined as "consumer"s own concern over purchase decision making"

(Slama & Tashchian, 1985). Product involvement also can be defined as "consumer"s concern" (Cohen, 1983). Chaffee & Mclead (1973) explaining product involvement as it is the benefit that the customer has from the product. Robertson et al. (1984) argues that it is the agreement point between the particular

personal consciousness and its principle agree with.

According to Lastovicka and Gardner (1979) and Tyebjee (1979), Product involvement is the personal involvement in the product which is directly related to personal commitment. Park (1996), argues that involvement is highly correlated to loyalty. However, Iwasaki and Havits (1998) found no relationship between foresaid two variables. Iwasaki and Havitz (1998) also found that level of loyalty is directly proportional levels of involvement.

Product Knowledge

Among the Various moderators that influence COO, one is consumer knowledge (Chiou, 2003). It is an important research topic of consumer behavior and essential research subject. Various factors can influence the COO extent. One of these factors is consumer knowledge (Chiou, 2003). Brucks (1985) argues that Product knowledge is based on memories from consumers or known knowledge of the customer. Product knowledge is associated with6 customer awareness and with understanding of the products by the customer or the consumer's

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



confidence in the product (Lin & Zhen,2005). Brucks (1985) divides product knowledge into following major categories of perceived knowledge, experience based knowledge and objective knowledge. Phau *et al* (2006) suggests consumer knowledge certainly plays a role in the acquisition and evaluation of extrinsic cues.

Alba & Hutchinson (1987) argues that consumer knowledge is a multidimensional phenomena and different types of experiences related to product gives different knowledge of the product or teaches different dimensions of the knowledge and customer uses those different dimensions in evaluating products differently. They also proposed two parts of product knowledge which are familiarity of products expertise.

According to Mattilda and Wirtz (2002), subjective product knowledge increases consumers reliance. Product knowledge does influences the information communicated to the consumer

(Larkin et al., 1980). Some authors are in the favour of the fact that consumers" understanding and knowledge are positive correlation to product information (Moore & Lehmann, 1980, Selnes & Troye, 1989, Alba & Hutchinson, 1987. However, other authors found negative correlation between those variables like Newman & Staelin, (1972). So instead of simple linear correlation, u-Shape correlation is found between the product knowledge and information search (Bettman and Park, 1980 and Johnson and Russo, 1984).

COO and **Demographic Effects**

According to Sheth, Mittal and Newman (1999), "individual traits consist of unique biogenic and psychogenic aspects of an individual customer. The biogenic individual trait is called

"genetics", such as gender, race, and age which all humans inherit from birth". Studies proves7 that COO effect is effected by the demographic variables (Sharma et al., 1995). Demographic variables plays important role for determining the effect of COO. E.g. Male and female evaluates the products differently (Wall & Heslop, 1989). Evanschitzky et al. (2008) argues that when COO and demographic variables are combined together, they explain the buying behavior towards foreign against the domestic products. Male and female shows different attitudes towards different countries

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



products and females like foreign products where as males are biased towards them (Lawrence et al., 1992; Sharma, *et al.*,

1995). However, some researchers found gender as unimportant factor like by Dornoff et al., (1974).

Another Demographic variable which is widely used in COO"s studies is age. Researches shows that older people are biased towards the foreign products as compare to less aged people (Bailey and Pineres, 1997; Smith, 1993). Festervand et al., (1985) proposed that the variable that has most influential is education factor. Different results has been shown by different researches for Education factor. However the majority of researches argues that level of education is correlated to favoring the foreign products as compare to the people with lesser education (Festervand et al., 1985; Good & Huddleston, 1995; Sharma et al., 1995). Imported products are favoured by the higher income group people (Wall et al., 1990). Some other researchers investigated the relationship of domestic products with income level. They found that higher the income is positively related to buying foreign products and

vice versa (Good and Huddleston 1995, and Bailey & Pineres 1997). However some other researchers like McLain and Sternquist., (1991) and Han (1990) found no relationship between income and COO.8

Consumer Purchase Decision

Consumer purchase Decision refers to the "possibility of consumer"s willingness of purchasing some specific product" (Dodds et al., 1991). Fishbein & Ajzen (1975) argues that to predict consumer behavior it is an important index. Many consumer behaviour models are used to define the consumer purchase intentions. Kotler et al., (1999) argues that "stimulation-response" model are used by the customers in making purchase decision.

3. Conceptual Frame work

The conceptual model for the study has been taken from Lin and Chen (2006) studies with some modifications. In Lin and Chen (2006) studies, demographic variables were not discussed however in this studies, demographical variables are also included so the model need to be modified to fit the studies.

According to the model, product knowledge and

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



Product involvement along with the COO effect the purchase decision of the customers. Figure 1 shows the conceptual model of the study:9

3.1. Modified Model

As the research is also design to know the behavior of demographic variable (age, gender, education and income), so an extra variable is also added to the original Lin & Chen model.

4. Hypotheses

The research is based on following hypotheses:

Country-Of-Origin influence Product Purchase Decision

The information of the country that where product is made has been an important factor in product purchasing decision of customers. Studies have shown that COO effects the customers in number of ways and can influence their purchasing intentions (Kaynak et al., 2000; Li et al., 2000; Huddleston et al., 2001). Bilkey & Nes (1982) study of COO effects on product evaluations and reported that COO is an important factor in purchasing decision. In10 this study, the effect of COO on three different products will be checked. The overall hypothesis is:

H1: Country-Of-Origin has significant and positive influence on product purchase decision.

Country-Of-Origin and product purchase decision are related to consumers'

Demographic information.

Previous studies on COO indicate that it is being affected by the demographic variables (Balabanis & Diamantopoulos, 2004). Studies also proves that COO is also related to purchase attitudes (Bilkey & Nes, 1992; Robinson & Smith, 2002). Thus, it is argued that Purchase intentions and COO are affected by the demographic variables and the following hypothesis is proposed:

H2: Country-Of-Origin is related to consumers" age, gender, education and income.

Evaluation Of "Made in Pakistan" Queue Products from different countries are not evaluated as same (Nagashima 1970, Dornoff *et al.*,

1974, Morello, 1984, Craig & Douglas, 2001) For three different products i.e. Fabrics, Electronics and Cosmetics products, "Made in Pakistan" is compared with different set of countries for each product. The hypothesis is:

H3: Made in Pakistan" is evaluated same as "Made in" For selected categories of products.

Relationship Product Involvement and

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



Product Purchase Decision.

Friedman & Smith (1993) argues that when a potential customer go for purchasing and purchases the product, customer tries to get more information about that product. Goldsmith11 and Emmert (1991) argues that product involvement is an important concept in consumer behavior. Petty et al. (1983) and Neese and Taylor (1994) concluded that higher the product involvement, the more are the purchase chances. Upon foresaid arguments, the hypothesis is:

H4: Product Involvement and product purchase decision are positively related.

Relationship Product Knowledge and Product Purchase Decision

Petty and Cacioppo (1981) proposed that with high product involvement, customers consider the pros and corn of products more seriously and customer have more knowledge of product, they just search limited knowledge. Rao & Sieben (1992), Moore & Lehmann (1980) and Goldsmith & Emmert (1991) argues that if customer have the understanding of product, it will affect his search behaviour and they will search for limited information only before purchasing. On the based on the foresaid literature, the third hypothesis for the research is inferred as follows:

H5: Product Knowledge is positively related to product purchase decision.

5. Research Methods

The majority of studies in the field of COO have been conducted in Western cultures, mainly in the USA. Moreover, most of consumer behavior models have been developed in the USA and few have been tested empirically outside America (Albaum and Peterson, 1984; Lee & Green, 1991). For this study population is all the customers in Pakistan cities of Abbottabad, Islamabad, Peshawar and Lahore. The unit of analysis of this dissertation is the individual unit. Three product categories were selected i.e. fabric products, electronics products and cosmetic products on basis that those selected products were used in previous studies. Secondly, those products imported as well as domestically manufactured and those products should be common used product categories.12 Questionnaire was adopted from previous studies. For measuring Country of Origin, questioner was adopted from Ghazali, et al., (2008), The questionnaire on product knowledge was adopted from the study of Lin and Zhen (2005) and the Product involvement questioner

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



was adopted from the study of Chin"s (2002). Two step sampling technique was used. In first step, proportionate geographical sampling technique was employed as all the four cities are at the minimum distance of 100km o above from each other which demonstrates that all the four cities have different culture. 25% quota was allocate to all the cities (60 samples per city) and in the second step random sampling was done. The study is based on primary data and questionnaire were used to collect the data. Total of 240 Questionnaire were distributed in four cities in Pakistan and 178 were return fully completed which makes 74% response rate.

5.1.Analysis

Following Analysis were performed:

Two Statistical test were performed on the data along with descriptive analysis of the data. Those tests were ONE-WAY ANOVA and Correlation.

5.1.1. Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive statistics describe the Quantitative features of the data collected. In the research, Mean and Standard Deviation as Descriptive analysis were performed

5.1.1.1.Mean

Ibbotson (2002) argues that in literature, mean receives the most support. Mean is the average of all the values or the ratio of sum to the number of entries is arithmetic mean and is denoted by \bar{x} . The mean of statistical distribution with a continuous random variable, also called as the expected value.13

5.1.1.2.Standard Deviation

It shows the dispersion of data from means. If the value of Standard Deviation is low, it indicates that data is closer to mean value and higher Standard Deviation shows that data is far from mean. Standard Deviation is obtained by having square root of variance. It is expressed in same units as of data.

SPSS tests

Following SPSS tests were performed in the study:

One-Way ANOVA

A statistical test used for differentiating between multiple means is Analysis-of-Variance (ANOVA) test. The purpose of this test in this study is to test different means within group (for sub-groups). As t-test can be applied for comparing two means, ANOVA is used to compare multiple means (more

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



than two means). "For using ANOVA, the Dependant variable must be measure with interval or ratio scale such as Likert scale and in this study we use the five-point Likert scale to measure the dependant variable. There should be one or more variable which should be categorical and those independent variables can be referred as factors" (Kajalo, 2009). In our research we have four demographic variables (Age, Gender, Income and Education) on the basis of which we can categories our dependent variable.

There are many type of different ANOVA Test available to be used depending upon the number of variables that are to be analyzed. When we are comparing variance of two groups, one-tailed ANOVA test is applied. Two-tailed test is used when we want to investigate whether there is a significant difference between two groups. Another type of Analysis of variance is the Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). For ANOVA the independent variables either metric or categorical. (Malhotra & Birks, 2007)14 When we want to divide the sub-groups into different categories like "More Dependent", "Neutral" or "Less Dependent" etc, Analysis of

variance gives us the information about them.

For Multiple comparisons, Analysis of variance is also used. ANOVA allows us to find is there any difference in dependent variable with respect to some dependent variable? (Kajalo, 2009)

In this study, ANOVA is used to determine the categories of customers which are more dependent on COO in evaluation and purchasing of products like male or Female are more dependent on COO information? We also explored the more ethnocentric subgroup of the sample.

5.1.2.2.Correlation

It measures the degree of association or the degree of connectivity between two variables. Both the variables should be measured on a series of objects. The Correlation-coefficient shows the strength of relationship. It is one of the most widely used statistics. Correlation coefficient is represented by "r" and its value is from "-1" to "+1". The value of correlation actually shows the degree of relationship between the two variables. If both the variables changes in same direction (one goes up, the other also

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



goes up and vice versa) it is positive correlation and if both the variables changes their values in opposite direction, it will be negative correlation and value of "r" will be below "0". For running the correlation test, the assumptions are:

- a). The variables should have adequate range of entries.
- b). The readings of both the variables should be independent15

5.2. Factor Analysis

This analysis is done to reduce the large number of variables into a smaller numbers of factors. Factor analysis were performed in the study as its all criteria required were full filled by the study. There were 25 variables and 178 respondents which makes subject-to variable ratio of 1.9. All the variables were recorded on 5-point Likert scale with 1 being strongly disagreed and 5 being strongly agreed. Extraction Method used "Principal is Component If we look at the Eigenvalues, we found 8 of them more than "1". So 8 factors would be extracted. Factor 1 describes 19.23%

of variation in the data, factor 2 represents 15.78% variation in the data, factor 3 represents 8.6% variation in the data, factor 4 represents 7.3% variation in the data. Factor 5 represents 6.5% communality of data whereas factor 6 represents 4.8% variation of data. 7th factor represents 4.4% variation of data and the last factor represents 4.1% variation in the data. The total variation explained by these 8 factors is 70.98%.

To get more interdependent results in rotation of factors, Varimax rational technique was used. Table shows the Rotational Component Matrix. According that matrix. Knowledge USA, Knowledge Pak, Knowledge Japan, Knowledge_China, are loaded highly on factor 1.Fabrics India. Fabrics Korea, Fabrics USA, Cosmetics India, Cosmetics_Malaysia and Cosmetics_China are highly loaded on Factor 2. Fabrics Pak, Electronics Japan, Fabrics China, Cosmetic_USA and Cosmetic_Pak are loaded on Factor 3 where as Knowledge_germany and Knowledge malaysia are highly loaded on Factor 4.

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



Knowledge_India and Knowledge_Malaysia are loaded on factor 5, Electronics_Pak is loaded on factor 6 and electronic_Germany and electronic_Malaysia is loaded on factor 7 and remaining one variables i.e. Knowledge_korea is highly loaded on Factor 5.18

5.2.1. Naming the factors

The five factors represent different variables. First factor is the Knowledge factor as it has all the variables of knowledge. Second factor is Fabrics and cosmetics which represents customer choices about countries in just fabrics and cosmetics product categories so named as "Fabrics and Cosmetics". Third factor is mixed factor as it has variables of different kind. Fourth factor is Knowledge2 factor as it contains Knowledge related variables. Then we have Mixed factor 2, then Electronics1, electronics 2 and last is knowledge_korea factor.

6. Results

To check the first hypothesis i.e. "Country-Of-Origin have significant and positive influence on product purchase decision", Spearman"s correlation (As data is non-parametric) coefficient analysis between COO and purchasing intentions of all the three products was analyzed. Tables 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 shows the correlation results of COO with different countries product (at the end of paper). The results can be summarizes as: In table 1, COO shows significant correlation with Pakistan_Fabric variable where r=0.158. it's been positive correlation between these two variables. This shows that COO has positive relationship with Pakistani Fabric purchase decision or the people who look for the COO information, when they purchase the Fabrics they look for Pakistani Fabrics. The results demonstrated that there is moderate and positive correlation between COO and Electronics_Japan where r=0.206. This shows that COO effects the purchase intention of Electronic products and the people who consider COO information, they prefers to purchase the Japanese electronics products. The results shows positive but moderated significant correlation of COO with purchase intention of Cosmetics from USA where r=0.336. This shows that COO is related to purchase intention of Cosmetics. The results from above discussion is that COO effect the purchasing decision

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



of customers so we can conclude that "

Country-Of-Origin have significant and positive influence on product purchase decision" and hence the first hypothesis is accepted. Above mention findings are aligned with the Yaprak (1978), Roth and Romeo (1992), Tse et al. (1996), Zhou and Hui (2003) also reported the same findings. For testing the second hypothesis which states that "Country-Of-Origin effect is related to consumers" age, gender, education and income". For testing hypothesis, four independent One-way ANOVA test were conducted. Results show that COO is effected by21 all the four demographic variables and there is significant difference between the values on the basis of different demographic variables. There is significant difference between means scores of COO with age of below 20 and above 60 years with sig. level of 0.001 which is lower than 0.05. Significant difference also recorded between the groups of 21 to 40 years and above 60 year group. Third significant different lies between 41 to 60 years group and above 60 year group where significant level is 0.01. These differences are also shown in

graph 1. Watson and Wright (2000), Orth and Firbasova, (2003) and de Mooij, (2004) also found that aged people are more conscious towards COO information. For gender group, second independent ANOVA was run. ANOVA shows the Sig. level of 0.003 which is below the value 0.05 which determines that there exist statistically significant difference between the gender groups. As there are just two groups so no post-hoc test are performed. Graph 2 shows the difference in means COO on the basis of gender. Watson and Wright (2000), Delener's (1995), Dornoff et al., 1974; Schooler, (1971) ,Wang, (1978) and Cleveland et al., (2003) also concluded the same results. To determine the difference in mean COO on the basis of education, third independent ANOVA test was performed. The results shows that there exist a significant difference in mean COO of respondents with education of below Metric and MS/M.PHIL. The metric respondents also have significant difference in mean COO with Graduates, M.Phil and PHD or above. Similarly, statistically significant difference lies between the response of HSSC passed respondents and MS/M.Phil

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



respondents. Another difference in mean score of COO is found in between the Masters and MS/M.Phil respondents. Graph 3 shows the Means COO against all the education groups. Analysis shows that high level of education results in more dependence on COO information which are also reported by Chou and Gupta (1995),22 Festervand et al., (1985), Wall, et al., (1991) also found that education are correlated to COO effect. To analyze the different in mean COO on the basis of Income, another independent One way ANOVA test was performed. Results shows that there is significant difference in mean scores of respondents with income below 20000PM and with income 21000 to 40000PM, 41000 to 60000PM, 81000 to 100000PM with above 100000PM. and Respondents with monthly income of 21000 to 40000 PM shows different attitude towards the COO as compare to the respondents with income of 61000 to 80000PM. People with income of more than 61000PM but less than 80000PM shows different behaviour toward COO as compare to the respondents with income of over 100000PM. The mean respond to COO with different level of income PM is shown in graph 4. Kochunny et al. (1993), Bruning (1997), Sharma et al., (1995) and de Mooij, (2004) also supported the argument that higher income people more prefers the COO information. So there was significant difference in mean average of different sub-groups within a group and so the second hypothesis is also accepted. To test the third hypothesis i.e. "Made in Pakistan" is evaluated same as "Made in", descriptive analysis of countries preferred on the basis of products were examined. Results show that "Made in Pakistan" is evaluated better than "Made in" in Fabrics category. Graph 5 shows its details. Similar results are reported by Ghani et al, (2007) in studies on Pakistan where they ranked Pakistani fabrics as the first choice of Pakistani customers. In electronic products category, it is evaluated on fourth number among the five listed countries. Japan was also found to be the most preferred country by Ghani et al, (2007) in their research in Pakistan. Graph 6 shows comparison. For Cosmetics products, "Made in Pakistan" is evaluated on again on fourth spot among the five listed countries. Graph 7 shows the details. Table 2

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



shows the results of descriptive analysis for three different products and for five countries for each product. Niffenegger et al."s (1980) found US cosmetics to the second23 preference of UK consumers. Badri et al, (1995) also found US cosmetics to top ranked by Japanese respondents. So this hypothesis is also accepted. For fourth hypothesis i.e. Product Involvement and product purchase decision are positively related. correlation coefficient analysis were performed between Product Involvement and purchase. Results of shows that there is significant and positive correlation between product_involvement and fabric_Pak, relationship of product involvement with any of Electronic products and Cosmetics, its show positive and significant correlation with cosemetic_USA. In other words, people who have the product knowledge or have understanding of the product, they prefers the Pakistan made fabrics and USA made Cosemetics. Table 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 shows the results of fore said test. This hypothesis is also accepted. The fifth hypothesis was "Product Knowledge is positively related to product

purchase decision." All the other results were significant. Thus the customer who has the knowledge of Pakistani products, they prefer to purchase only the fabrics of Pakistan. Same is the case for India whereas USA cosmetics and Japan's electronics are also proffered. So the last hypothesis is also accepted.

7. Discussion

Results demonstrated that the people who looks for Country-of-origin information, they have certain images of different countries in different product categories. Research also concluded that Pakistan is only preferred country in Fabric products and not in electronics and cosmetics products. Ghani et al, (2007) in studies on Pakistan where they ranked Pakistani fabrics as the first choice of Pakistani customers which supports our findings. Niffenegger et al."s (1980) found US cosmetics to the second preference of UK consumers. Badri et al. (1995) also found US cosmetics to top ranked by Japanese respondents. As in our study, Japan was also found to be the most preferred country by Ghani et al, (2007) in their research in Pakistan Product Involvement and Product knowledge does affect the

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



customer choices in term of selecting the countries across different product categories. Demographic variables also influenced the Country-of-Origin effect. Just like this study, Watson and Wright (2000), Orth and Firbasova, (2003) and de Mooij, (2004) also found that aged people are more conscious towards COO information. For Gender result that that females look for COO more than men, Watson and Wright (2000), Delener's (1995), Dornoff et al., 1974; Schooler, (1971) ,Wang,(1978) and Cleveland et al., (2003) also concluded the same results. Analysis shows that high level of education results in more dependence on COO information which are also reported by Chou and Gupta (1995), Festervand et al., (1985), Wall, et al.,(1991) also found that25 education are correlated to COO effect. Results show statistically significant differences in mean COO on the basis of different income level. Higher the income, more important is the COO factor. Kochunny et al. (1993), Bruning (1997), Sharma et al., (1995) and de Mooij, (2004) also supported the argument that higher income people more prefers the COO information. "Made in Pakistan" was evaluated better for Fabrics but not for

Electronics or Cosmetics.

8. Implication

The study"s The theoretical contributions to research include the better understanding of COO relative importance for the Pakistani customers. Study found that some domestically manufactured products are favoured like fabrics. However some foreign products are also favoured. Domestically manufactured fabrics are favoured as Pakistani cotton is one of the best cotton produced in the world and its products are cheaper and better in quality. On the other hand electronics and cosmetics of Pakistan are not being favoured. Pakistan is a under developed and in both of these categories, developed countries (Japan and USA respectively) are being favoured. These finding are may be due to technological development of those countries as customers are biased toward less developed countries products.

Many companies are shifting plants to low labour cost locations like china and India to keep the cost low. From financial point of view, it is effective decision

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



but it does effect the customer"s perception about the products. Managers have also to look in this matter before deciding to move economical area as changing the location of production can effect the sales and customer choices. There are difference in the COO effect for different demographical variable. Marketers can use that information in labelling the products more efficiently. They can design more 26

effective communication strategies depending upon the demographic information available. Studies can be used for effective segmentation of the market.

As COO information does effect the selection of products, the multinational companies need to consider this factor in marketing their products in different countries the world. Countries with positive COO image like Japan has for Electronics products should use its marketing tool. They can also relate the COO information to quality and better attributed product which can enhance sales of the firm.

Countries have negative COO image for some products can use more effective communication tools and positioning strategy in overcoming the negative stereotype. Companies may can use joint ventures

with foreign companies in the host country to enhance the image.

9. Limitation of the Research

While interpreting the findings, limitations of the study should be considered. Those limitations can be used as direction for future research. Some limitation of this study are that COO effect was just considered in Products categories only but not for the services sector, due to somewhat sample size of this study the accuracy of the study can be in doubt. Another limitation of the study is that it is a single cue study and it dost includes the other extrinsic cues like design, warranty or price etc. other extrinsic cues can have effect on purchase decision.

The study was conducted in very small geographical area and in very specific market and with very specific product. Same kind of study can be replicated in other countries of the 27 world, with different product groups. The data of this study with that future research can be analyzed to uncover some other patterns in the data. As the study was conducted in Pakistan, similar studies can be conducted in other South Asian countries or even Asian countries to

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



compare the results and to find the difference in choices of respondents. More industrialized countries can have different results as COO depends on industrial development also. Same is the case for EU countries and USA where economic level of development is significantly different. Study was focused on different products from different countries and it can be used to evaluate different products of same country. Another limitation of the study was that female respondents were not according to the actual population ratio of the country. Same was the case for the age, education and income levels.

10. Future Research

For future research, Services sector can be considered as in this study just products from different countries were considered with large sample size to generalize the findings. In future study, multiple-cues can be studies to check which cue has the maximum effect on the product evaluation and purchase decision.

To generalize the findings, cross culture studies can be conducted with large geographical area being covered. This study can be conducted for just one product from multiple countries or for multiple products for single country.28

References

Ahmed, S. A. and d'Astous, A. (2001), "Canadian consumers' perceptions of products made in newly industrializing East Asian countries", International Journal of Commerce & Management, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 54-81. Ahmed, S.A. and d"Astous, A. (1995), "Comparison of country of origin effects on household and organisational buyers" product perceptions", European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 35-51. Ahmed, S.A., d"Astous, A. and El-adraoui, M. (2008), "Country-of origin effects on purchasing managers" product perceptions", Industrial Marketing Management, Vol. 23, No. 4. pp. 323-32.29 Ahmed, Z.U., Zbib, I.J., Sikander, A. and Farhat, K.T. (2010), "Predicting consumer behavior based on country of origin (COO)", Euromed Journal of Business, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 37-56. Alba, J. and (1987),Hutchinson, J.W. "Dimensions of consumer expertise", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 411-5. Albaum, G., & Peterson, R. A. (1984), "Empirical research in international marketing: 1976-1982", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 15, No. 1,pp. 161-173. Anderson, W. T., & Cunningham, W. H. (1972), "Gauging foreign product promotion", Journal of Advertising Research, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 29-34. Anholt, S. (2007), Competitive Identity. The New Brand Management for Nations, Cities and Regions. Trowbridge, Wilts. Cromwell Press. Assaf, A. and Josiassen, A. (2010), "Country-of-origin contingencies: their joint influence on consumer behaviour", Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, Vol. 22, No. 3, pp. 294-313. Badri, Davis

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



and Davis (1995), "Decision support for global marketing strategies: the effect of country-of-origin on product evaluation", Journal of Product & Brand Management, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 49-64 Bailey, W. and Pineres, S. (1997), "Country of origin attitudes in Mexico: the malinchismo effect", Journal of International Consumer Marketing, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 25, 41. Baker, M. J. and Ballington, L. (2002), "Country of origin as a source of competitive advantage", Journal of Strategic Marketing; Vol. 10, No. 2, pp157-168. Balabanis, G., & Diamantopoulos, A. (2004), "Domestic country bias, country-of-origin effects, ethnocentrism: and consumer multidimensional unfolding approach", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 80-95.30 Bettman, J.R. and Park, C.W. (1980), "Effects of prior knowledge and experience and phase of the choice process on consumer decision processes: a protocol analysis", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 234-48. Bilkey, W.J. and Nes, E. (1982), "Country of origin effects on product evaluation", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 89-99. Brucks, M. (1985), "The effect of product class knowledge on information search behavior", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 1-16. Bruning, E.R. (1997), "Country-of-origin, national loyalty and product choice: The case of international air travel", International Marketing Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 59-74. Cengiz, E., Ayyildiz, H., & Er, B. (2007), "Effects of image and advertising efficiency on customer loyalty and antecedents of loyalty: Turkish banks sample", Banks and Bank Systems, Vo. 12, No.

1,pp. 56-79. Chaffee, S. H. & J. M. Mclead, (1973), Consumer Decisions and Information Use. Consumer Behavior: Theoretical Source, S. Ward and T.S. Robertson. Chiou, J.-S. (2003), "The impact of country of origin on pretrial and post trial product evaluations: the moderating effect of consumer expertise", Psychology and Marketing, Vol. 20 No. 10, pp. 935-54 Chinen, K., Jun, M., and Hampton, G. M. (2000). "Product quality, market presence, and buying behaviour: Aggregate images of foreign products in the US". Multinational Business Review, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 29-38. Chung, C., Boyer, T. and Han, S. (2009), "Valuing Quality Attributes and Country of Origin in the Korean Beef Market", Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. 60 No. 3, pp. 682-698. Cohen, J. B., (1983), "Involvement and You: 100 Great ideas", Advances in Consumer Research, vol. 10, pp. 32-39.31 Cordell, V. (1992), "Effects of consumer preferences for foreign sourced products", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp 251-269. Dakin, J.A. and Carter, S. (2010), "Negative image: developing countries and country of origin - an example from Zimbabwe", International Journal of Economics and Business Research, Vol. 2 No. 3/4, pp. 166-186. Darling, J. R., & Wood, V. R. (1990), "A longitudinal study comparing perceptions of US and Japanese consumer products in a third/neutral country: Finland 1975 to 1985", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp 427-450. De-Mooij, M. (2004), Consumer Behavior and Culture: Consequences for Global Marketing and Advertising, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA. Dodds, B. K., K. B. Monroe & D. Grewal,

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



"Effect of Price, Brands and Store (1991),Information on Buyers" Product Evaluation", Journal Marketing Research, Vol. 28, pp. 307-319.Dornoff, R., Tankersley, C. and White, (1974), "Consumers" perceptions of imports", Akron Business and Economic Review, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 26-9. Evanschitzky, H., Wangenheim, F., Woisetschlager, D. and Markus, B. (2008),"Consumer ethnocentrism in the German market", International Marketing Review, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 7-32. Fan, Y. (2006), "Branding the nation: What is being branded?", Journal of Vacation Marketing, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp 5-14. Festervand, T., Lumpkin, J., and Lundstrom, W. (1985), "Consumers" perceptions of imports: an update and extension", Akron Business and Economic Review, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 31-6.32 Fishbein, A. J. & L. Ajzen, (1975), Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research Reading, MA: Aaddison-Wesley. Friedman, M.L. and Smith, L. (1993), "Consumer evaluation process in a service setting", Journal of Service Marketing, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 47-61. Gaedeke, R. (1973), "Consumer attitudes toward products "made in" developing countries", Journal of Retailing, Vol. 49, No. 2, pp 13-24. Ghani, U., Salaria, M.R. and Jan, F.A. (2007), "COO effect on Customer Purchase decision of Durable Goods in Pakistan", Journal of Management Sciences, Vol. 1, No.1. Ghazali, M., Othman, M., Zahiruddin, A., Yahya & M. Ibrahim, A. (2008), "Products and Country of Origin Effects: The Malaysian Consumers" Perception", International Review of Business Research Papers, Vol. 4, No.2, Pp.91-102. Goldsmith, R.E. and Emmert, J. (1991), "Measuring product

category involvement: a multitrait-multimethod study", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 363-71.

Good, L.K. and Huddleston, P. (1995), "Ethnocentrism of Polish and Russian consumers: are feelings and intentions related?", International Marketing Review, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 35-48.Gurhan-Canli, Z., & Maheswaran, D. (2000), "Cultural variations in country of origin effects", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 309-317.Han, C. M. (1989), "The role of consumer patriotism in the choice of domestic versus foreign products", Journal of Advertising Research, Vol. 28, No 3, pp 25-32.

Han, C.M. (1990), "Country image: halo or summary construct?", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 222-9.Ibbotson Associates (2002), Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation 2002 Yearbook, Ibbotson, Chicago, IL.33 Insch, A. and Florek, M. (2009), "Prevalence of country of origin association on the supermarket shelf", International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 453-471.Iwasaki Y. and Havitz M.E. (1998), "A Path Analysis Model of the Relationships between Involvement, Psychological Commitment and Loyalty", Journal of Leisure Research, vol.30, No. 2, pp. 256-80.

Jo, Myung-Soo, Kent Nakamoto, and James E. Nelson (2003), "The Shielding Effect of Brand Image Against Lower Quality Country of origin in Global Manufacturing", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 56, No. 8, pp. 637-49.

Johnson, E.J. and Russo, J.E. (1984), "Product familiarity and learning new information", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 542-50.

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



Josiassen, A. and Harzing, A-W. (2008), "Descending from the ivory tower: reflections on the relevance and future of country-of-origin-research", European Management Review, No. 5, pp. 264-270.

Kajalo, Sami (2009), Lecture slides for Business Research Methods.

Kaynak, E. and Cavusgil, S.T. (1983), "Consumer attitudes towards products of foreign origin: do they vary across product classes", International Journal of Advertising, Vol. 2, pp. 147-57. Kinra. (2006), "The effect of country-of-origin on foreign brand names in the Indian market", Marketing Intelligence & Planning, Vol. 24, No. 1,pp 12-30. Kochunny, C.M., Babakus, E., Berl, R. and Marks, W. (1993), "Schematic representation of country image: its product evaluations", effects on Journal International Consumer Marketing, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 5-25.34 Kotler, P., & Gertner, D. (2002), "Country as brand, product, and beyond: A place marketing and brand management perspective", The Journal of Brand Management, Vol. 9, No. 4,pp 249-261.

Kotler, P., S. H. Ang, S. M. Leong & C. T. Tan, (1999),Marketing Management: An Asian Perspective, 2nd ed. Prentice Hall. Koubaa, Y. (2008), "Country-of-origin, brand image perception, and brand image structure", Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp 139. Krishnakumar, P. (1974), "An exploratory study of influence of country of origin on the product images of persons from selected countries," PhD dissertation, University of Florida. Krugman, H. E., (1965), "The Impact of Television Advertising Learning without Involvement", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 29, pp.

349-356. Larkin, J., McDermott, J., Simon, D.P. and Simon, H.A. (1980), "Expert and novice performance in solving physics problem", Science, Vol. 208 No. 14, pp. 335-42.

Laroche, M., Papadopoulos, N., Heslop, L.A. and Mourali, M. (2005), "The influence of country image structure on consumer evaluations of foreign products", International Marketing Review, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 95-115. Lastovicka J.L. and Gardner D.M. (1979), Components of Involvement", in Attitude Research Plays for High Stakes, J.C. Maloney and B. Silverman (Eds.), Chicago, American Marketing Association, 53-73. Lawrence, C., Marr, N.E. and Prendergast, G.P. (1992), "Country-of-origin stereotyping: a case study in the New Zealand motor vehicle industry", Asia Pacific International Journal of Marketing, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 37-51 Lee, C., & Green, R. T. (1991), "Cross-cultural examination of the Fishbein behavioural intentions model", Journal of International Business Studies, pp. 289-305.35 Lin, L. and Chen, C. (2006), "The influence of the country-of-origin image, product knowledge and product involvement on consumer purchase decisions: an empirical study of insurance and catering services in Taiwan", Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 23 No. 5, PP 248-265 Lin, L.-Y. and Zhen, J.-H. (2005), "Extrinsic product performance signaling, product knowledge and customer satisfaction: an integrated analysis - an example of notebook consumer behavior in Taipei city", Fu Jen Management Review, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 65-91.

Malhotra, N. K. and Birks, D. F. (2007), "Marketing Research: An Applied Approach", Third European Edition, Pearsons Education Limited, Essex, England. Marchant, C. and Ward, S. (2003), "At home or

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



abroad: an examination of expatriate and crossnational differences in the use of country of origin information", Journal of Asia Pacific Marketing, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 12-42.Mattilda, A.S., and Wirtz, J. (2002), "The impact of knowledge types on the consumer search process", International Journal of Service Industry Management, Vol.13 No.3, 2002, pp 214- 230.McLain, S. and Sternquist, B. (1991), "Ethnocentric consumers: do they buy American?", Journal of International Consumer Marketing, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 39-57. Moore, W.L. and Lehmann, D.R. (1980), "Individual differences in search behavior for a nondurable", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 296-307. Nagashima, A. (1970), "A comparison of Japanese and US attitudes toward foreign products", Journal of Marketing, Vol. 34, pp. 68-74. Nagashima, A. (1977), "A comparative "made product image survey among in" Japanese businessmen", The Journal of Marketing, Vol. 41, No.3, pp. 95-100.36 Neese, W.T. and Taylor, R.D. (1994), "Verbal strategies for indirect comparative advertising", Journal of Advertising Research, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 56-69. Netemeyer, Richard G., Durvasula, Srinivas, Lichtenstein, Donald R. (1991), "A Cross-National Assessment of the Reliability and Validity", Vol. 28, No. 3, pp. 320.Newman, J.W. and Staelin, R. (1972), "Pre-purchase information seeking for new cars and major household appliances", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 249-57. Niffenegger, P., Diamantopoulos, A., White, J. and Marmet, G. (1980), "How British retail managers view French and American products", European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 14, No. 8, pp. 493-8. Okechuku, C. and Onyemah, V. (1999), "Nigerian consumer attitudes toward foreign and

domestic products", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 611-622. Orth, U. and Firbasova, Z. (2003), "The role of consumer ethnocentrism product evaluation", in food Agribusiness, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 137-53. Papadopoulos, N. (1993), "What product country images are and are not, in Papadopoulos, N. and Heslop, L. (Eds), Product Country Images, International **Business** Press. New York. L. Papadopoulos, N. and Heslop, (1993),"Product and Country Images: Research and Strategy", The Haworth Press, New York, NY Park S-H (1996), "Relationship Between Involvement and Attitudinal Loyalty Constructs in Adult Fitness Programs", Journal of Leisure Research, Vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 233-250.

Petty, R.E., Cacioppo, J.T. and David, S. (1983), "Central and peripheral routes to advertisements effectiveness: the moderating role of involvement", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 135-46.37 Piron, F. (2000), "Consumers" Perceptions of the Country-of-Origin Effect on Purchasing Intentions of Conspicuous Products", The Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 308-17. Pecotich, A. and Ward, S. (2007), "Global branding, country of origin and expertise An experimental evaluation", International Marketing Review Vol. 24 No. 3, 2007 pp. 271-296 Roth, K. P. and Diamantopoulos, A. (2009), "Advancing the country image construct", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 62, No.7,pp. 726-740.Roth, M. S. and Romeo, J. B. (1992), "Matching product category and country image perceptions: a framework for managing

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013.ISSN NO: 2348 -2354



country-of-origin effects", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 477-497. Schooler, R. D. (1965), "Product Bias in the Central American Common Market", Journal of Marketing Research, pp. 71-80. Selnes, F. and Troye, S.V. (1989), "Buying expertise, information search and problem solving", Journal of Economic Psychology, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 411-28. Sharma, S., Shimp, T. A., & Shin, J. (1995), "Consumer ethnocentrism: a test of antecedents and moderators", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 23, No.1, pp. 26-37. Sheth, J. N., Mittal, B., & Newman, B. I. (1999), "Customer behavior: consumer behavior and beyond", Fort Worth, TX: Dryden. Slama, M. E., A. Tashchian, (1985), "Selected Socioeconomic and Demographic Characteristics Associated Purchasing Involvement", Journal of Marketing, Vol. 49, pp. 72-82. Smith, W.R. (1993), "Country-oforigin bias: a regional labelling solution", International Marketing Review, Vol. 10 No. 6, pp. 4-12.38 Solomon, M. (2004), Consumer behaviour: buying, having, and being, Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson Prentice Hall. Traylor, M. B., (1981), "Product Involvement and Brand Commitment", Journal of Advertising Research, Vol. 21, pp. 51-56. Tse, D. K., & Gorn, G. J. (1993), "An experiment on the salience of country-of-origin in the era of global brands", Journal of International Marketing, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.57-76. Tyebjee T.T. (1979), "Response Time, Conflict and Involvement in Brand Choice", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 5, No. 1,pp. 295-304. Ulgado, F., & Lee, M. (1998), "The Korean versus American marketplace: Consumer reactions to

foreign products", Psychology and Marketing, Vol. 15, No. 6, pp. 595-614. Verlegh, P. W. J., and Steenkamp, J. E. M. (1999), "A review and metaanalysis of country-of-origin research", Journal of Economic Psychology, Vol. 20, No. 5, pp. 521-546. Wall, M. and Heslop, L. (1989), "Consumer attitudes towards the quality of domestic and imported apparel and footwear", Journal of Consumer Studies and Home Economics, Vol. 13, pp. 337-58. Wall, M., Liefeld, J., & Heslop, L. (1991), "Impact of countryof-origin cues on consumer judgments in multi-cue situations: a covariance analysis", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 19, No. 2,pp. 105-113. Wang, C. and Lamb, C. (1983), "The impact of selected environmental forces upon consumers" willingness to buy foreign products", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 71-84. Watson, J. J., & Wright, K. (2000), "Consumer ethnocentrism and attitudes toward domestic and foreign products", European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 34, No. 9, pp. 1149-1166.39

White, P.D. (1979), "Attitudes of US purchasing industrial managers toward products manufactured in selected European nations," Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 2, pp. 81-90 Williams, E. 1896), "Made London: Heinemann, Germany". cited O"Shaughnessy, J. O"Shaughnessy, N. J. (2000), "Treating the Nation as a Brand: Some Neglected Issues", Journal of Macromarketing, Vol. 20, No 1, pp. 56-64. Yaprak, A. (1987), "A crossnational comparison of consumer research measures", Journal of International Business

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



Studies, Vol. 18, pp. 35-49. Yu, J. and Albaum, G. (1999), "Effects of the change of sovereignty ethnocentrism consumer and product on preference in Hong Kong", Journal Euromarketing, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 63-82. Zaichkowsky, J. L., (1985), "Measuring the Involvement Construct", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 12, pp. 341-352. Zeugner-Roth, K.P. and Diamantopoulos, A. (2009), "Advancing the country image construct", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 62 No. 2009, pp. 726-740.

Business Management and Administration

Volume: 1 Issue: 1 08-Dec-2013,ISSN_NO: 2348 -2354



ISRJournals and Publications
Page 90